UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,726	07/15/2003	Steven J. Smith	MNDSH-01004US0	7044	
23910 FLIESLER MF	7590 09/26/2007 EYER LLP		EXAMINER		
650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			JEAN GILLES, JUDE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• .			2143	-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			XO
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/619,726	SMITH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL INO DATE of the	Jude J. Jean-Gilles	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te. cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 J</u>	<u>lune 2007</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 61 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60 and 62-65</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	·	ceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , ,	eceived	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		Mail Date prmal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •	

Application/Control Number: 10/619,726

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to the Reply filed on 06/26/2007.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. Claims, 1-65 remain pending in the application with claims. Claims 1, 2, 12-13, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 32, 35, 37-38, 40, 43, 46, 49, 50, 54-55, 58-59, 60, 62, and 64 have been amended. Claim 61 is canceled.. Claims 1-65 represent a method and apparatus for "SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR AUTOMATICALLY UPDATING ELECTRONIC MAIL ACCESS LISTS."

Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claims have been carefully considered, but are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments are deemed moot in view of the new ground of rejection as explained here below. Applicant has made amendments to the independent claims that represent new matter in light of the specifications.

In the Office Action mailed January 26, 2007, Claims 1-65 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. In particular, it was cited that the steps of these claims failed to definitely recite a hardware executing the computer software, rendering the claim as recited only an abstract idea. Claims 1-60, and 42-65 as amended are now in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §101.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1, 21, 40, 49, 50, 60, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 1, 21, 40, 49, 50, 60, and 62 contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1, 21, 40, 49, 50, 60, and 62, amended in the Reply dated 06/26/2007, comprise language that is not spelled out in the specifications as originally claimed. Applicants have provided no reference in the specification where amended limitations can be found. The steps of "wherein the petition is received and evaluated at a time the sender receives recipient's email address and prior to transmission of email messages between said sender and said recipient; and wherein the petition is transmitted through a different protocol than said email messages in order to avoid said petition from being filtered" are not supported by the specification, and introduce new matter, and request for entry is not granted.. there is not specific mention of these limitations in the specifications as filed on 07/15/2003.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,726

Art Unit: 2143

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other

Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (571) 272-

3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (703) 305-3719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJG

September 14, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4